

Southern - 2009 Provincial Council Meeting

HOW TO USE THIS LEGISLATION BOOKLET AT PROVINCIAL COUNCILS

This document describes in detail a Bylaw amendment that has been proposed to the Southern Provincial Council for consideration. Originally proposed to the Northeastern Provincial Council, it was adopted on February 8. As it affects the Provincial boundary between Northeastern and Southern Province, it now goes to the Southern Provincial Council for consideration. Ultimately this Bylaw amendment will require a vote of the Grand Chapter Congress.

Per Section O, Policy 12 of the National Fraternity Policies and Procedures, Provincial Councils establish the Regions and their boundaries within their respective Province. During years in which a Congress convenes following July 1, the Provincial Vice President will assume jurisdiction and leadership of the new region until a Regional Vice President is elected at Congress (or after).

Ritual proposals from the Board of Directors are in a separate document.

- Bylaw amendments must achieve a 2/3 majority vote at Congress to pass.
 - Any language with ~~strike throughs~~ would be deleted if the proposal or amendment passes.
 - Any language listed in **bold** indicates language that would be added if the amendment or proposal was adopted.
 - Language in *italics* is included occasionally for explanatory purposes.

EXAMPLE:

~~Bylaws~~ - would be deleted

Bylaws - would be added

Bylaws - would be an explanatory note offering more detail or interpretation

PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL 1 – Bylaw Amendment

Requires 2/3 vote by GCC to pass.

Submitted by:

Northeastern Provincial Boundaries Task Force
(Suzanne Swire, Chair; Amanda Romine; Victoria Beatty)

Summarization of the Proposal/Recommendation

To allow the entire Cincinnati Metropolitan area to be administered by one Province and one Region.

Article II, Section 11. parts a. and b. of the Bylaws now read:

- a. Northeastern Province** -- To consist of the Collegiate and Alumni Chapters in the states of Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, the District of Columbia, Delaware, Ohio, West Virginia, northern Virginia (Iota Kappa and Mu Tau chapters and the Northern Virginia alumni chapter) and the provinces of New Brunswick, Newfoundland, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, Quebec and Ontario in Canada.
- b. Southern Province** -- To consist of the Collegiate and Alumni Chapters in the states of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Virginia (except Iota Kappa and Mu Tau chapters and the Northern Virginia alumni chapter).

Article II, Section 11. parts a. and b. of the Bylaws would read:

- a. Northeastern Province** -- To consist of the Collegiate and Alumni Chapters in the states of Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, the District of Columbia, Delaware, Ohio, **the Cincinnati metropolitan area counties located in Kentucky (Kenton, Campbell, and Boone)**, West Virginia, northern Virginia (Iota Kappa and Mu Tau chapters and the Northern Virginia alumni chapter) and the provinces of New Brunswick, Newfoundland, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, Quebec and Ontario in Canada.
- b. Southern Province** -- To consist of the Collegiate and Alumni Chapters in the states of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky (**except the Cincinnati metropolitan area counties Kenton, Campbell, and Boone**), Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Virginia (except Iota Kappa and Mu Tau chapters and the Northern Virginia alumni chapter).

Purpose of the Proposal/Recommendation and reason(s) for its submission (may not exceed 100 words if ultimately submitted for Grand Chapter consideration)

The schools on the Kentucky side of the Cincinnati area can be more easily served by East Central leadership due to their proximity and demographic similarities. This will affect possible expansion to Northern Kentucky University which is located 7 miles from Cincinnati, as well as existing chapters in Cincinnati at University of Cincinnati and Xavier University. This will also allow alumni who live in Northern Kentucky to be eligible to run for RVP, while they were previously ineligible despite close proximity to several chapters.

Recommended implementation date and logic for selecting this date

During or immediately following Grand Chapter Congress, since no existing chapters' region or province will be changed.

Positive aspects of implementing Proposal/Recommendation

This will allow all chapters and alumni volunteers in the Cincinnati metropolitan area to be within one region and one province. This will generate a larger base for volunteers and will create more opportunities for expansion and regional events.

Negative aspects of implementing Proposal/Recommendation

Will require education and some editing in Fraternity publications.

Provide a brief cost analysis of the Proposal/Recommendation (if applicable)

There would be the cost of editing maps and Fraternity publications, though the Manuals for Pledge Education are reprinted each year.

NOTES:

Passed

Passed as amended

Failed